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Abstract: Five monoclonal antibodies named 2B5B10, 2E4D11, 1D1E10, 1D1D11 and 177/10/27/11 were produced against capsular polysaccharides of Streptococcus pneumoniae serotypes 1, 5, 6B, 14 and 19F respectively. They all were obtained by hybridoma technology and produced by in vivo procedures. Were purified by Protein A-based Affinity Chromatography and the yield of all processes was around 2.9 and 3.7 mg per mL of ascitic fluid; and with a high purity (over 90% in all cases). All MAb only reacted against their homologue polysaccharide showing no cross reactivity against other serogroups. The affinity constants (Kaff) measured by non-competitive ELISA were 3.08 x 1011 M-1 for 2B5B10, 1.53 x 1012 M-1 for 2E4D11, 8.68 x 1010 M-1 for 1D1E10, 1.42 x 1013 M-1 for 1D1D11 and 7.2 109 M-1 for 177/10/27/11. The potential application of these MAb for identity tests was demonstrated by their abilities of no cross-react with the other purified PS presents in QuimiVio, through indirect ELISA.
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Resumen: Se produjeron cinco anticuerpos monoclonales denominados 2B5B10, 2E4D11, 1D1E10 y 1D1D11 contra los polisacáridos capsulares de los serotipos 1, 5, 6B y 14 de Streptococcus pneumoniae, respectivamente. Todos fueron obtenidos por la tecnología del híbrido y producidos por procedimientos in vivo. Se purificaron mediante cromatografía de afinidad basada en proteína A y el rendimiento de todos los procesos fue de alrededor de 2,9 y 3,7 mg por ml de líquido ascítico; y con una alta pureza (más del 90% en todos los casos). Todos los anticuerpos monoclonales solo reaccionaron contra su polisacárido homólogo que no muestra reactividad cruzada contra otros serogrupos. Las constantes de afinidad (Kaff) medidas por ELISA no competitiva fueron 3,08 x 1011 M-1 para 2B5B10, 1,53 x 1012 M-1 para 2E4D11, 8,68 x 1010 M-1 para 1D1E10, 1,42 x 1013 M-1 para 1D1D11 y 7,2 109 M-1 para 177/10/27/11. La posible aplicación de estos anticuerpos monoclonales para ensayos de identidad se demostró por su capacidad de no tener entrecruzamientos con los otros polisacáridos purificados presentes en QuimiVio, a través de ELISA indirecto.
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Introduction

Streptococcus pneumoniae bacterial infections are responsible for the majority of mortality and morbidity seen in the extremes of age, young children (< 2 years) and elderly. The critical virulence factor of the bacteria is the capsular polysaccharide (PS), and based on it, there are recognized more than 90 serotypes. The increasing number of antibiotic-resistant strains and the severity of pneumococcal diseases, the extremes of age, young children (< 2 years) and elderly is responsible for the majority of mortality and morbidity seen in pneumococcal diseases. Serotype polysaccharide conjugate vaccines are very difficult and challenging. Rigorous analytical characterization and the standard release and stability assays are required. The World Health Organization (WHO) and Pharmacopoeia institutes provide guidance and recommendations on the type of release, stability, and characterization testing that should be performed at a minimum. One of those critical tests are the identity and quantitation of each polysaccharide serotype including the carrier protein, in the multivalent formulation. So, to ensure the analytical techniques that allow carrying out the quality controls of this type of vaccine is without a doubt a very important aspect. Analytical techniques that been simple and rapid to perform and at the same time sufficiently specific. In this respect, monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) have become a powerful analytical tool that have allowed, in polysaccharide vaccines like Haemophilus influenzae b Tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccines and in multivalent antimeningococcal polysaccharide vaccines, determine the content and the identity of PS.
Obtaining and characterization of monoclonal antibodies against capsular polysaccharides of Streptococcus pneumoniae serotype 1, 5, 6B, 14 and 19F.

Table 1. Pneumococcal licensed vaccines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name</th>
<th>Brand Name</th>
<th>PS included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV)</td>
<td>Prevnar (7-valent) (Pfizer)</td>
<td>4, 6B, 9V, 14, 18C, 19F, and 23F conjugated to the nontoxic variant of diphtheria toxing (CRM197)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prevnar 13 (13-valent) (Pfizer)</td>
<td>1, 3, 4, 5, 6A, 6B, 7F, 9V, 14, 18C, 19A, 19F and 23F conjugated to CRM197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Synflorix (10-valent) (GlaxoSmithKline)</td>
<td>1, 3, 4, 6, 6B, 7F, 9V, 14, 18C, 19F and 23F all conjugated to protein D (derived from non-typeable Haemophilus influenzae) except 18C and 19F that are conjugated to tetanus (TT) and diphtheria toxoid (DT) respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine (PPV)</td>
<td>Pneumovax (23-valent) (Merck)</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6B,7F,8,9N,9V,10A,11A,12F,14,15B,17F , 18C,19A,19F,20,22F,23F and 33F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Materials and methods

Reagents and buffers

Buffers: Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (140 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na,HPO₄, 1.8 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.4), blocking buffer (PBS, 3% non-fat dried milk), washing solution (PBS, 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20, pH 7.4), substrate buffer (orthophenylene-diamine (OPD), 35 mM citric acid, 67 mM Na₂HPO₄, 0.012% (w/v) H₂O₂, pH 5.0), stop solution (2.5M H₂SO₄).

Antigens: Plain purified capsular polysaccharide (PS) from S. pneumoniae serotypes 1, 5, 6A, 6B, 14, 18C, 19A, 19F, 23F and Polysaccharide C (Finlay Institute, Cuba); Tetanus toxoid (TT) (Finlay Institute, Cuba). Capsular polysaccharides from serotypes 1, 5, 6B, 14 and 19F conjugated to TT (PS1-TT, PS5-TT, PS6B-TT, PS14-TT and PS19F-TT) (Finlay Institute, Cuba)

Conjugated antibodies: sheep anti mouse IgG whole molecule conjugated to horseradish peroxidase. (Sigma-Aldrich, USA).

Mouse Monoclonal Antibody Isotyping Kit. Bio-Rad, USA.


Table 2. Immunization protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IP</th>
<th>MAB</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Doses</th>
<th>Via</th>
<th>Scheme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anti-PS1</td>
<td>PS1-TT, lot 001.15.01 with Freund’s complete adjuvant</td>
<td>10 µg</td>
<td>Subcutaneous</td>
<td>Three doses (0, 14, 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anti-PS5</td>
<td>PS5-TT, lot 005.15.01 with Freund’s complete adjuvant</td>
<td>10 µg</td>
<td>Subcutaneous</td>
<td>Three doses (0, 14, 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Anti-PS6B</td>
<td>PS6B-TT, lot 06B.15.01 with Freund’s complete adjuvant</td>
<td>20 µg</td>
<td>Subcutaneous</td>
<td>Five doses (0, 14, 28, 42, 56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Anti-PS14</td>
<td>PS14-TT, lot 014.15.01 with Freund’s complete adjuvant</td>
<td>5 µg</td>
<td>Subcutaneous</td>
<td>Three doses (0, 14, 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Anti-PS19F</td>
<td>PS19F joined to pearls of polyhydroxylalkanoate (PhA)</td>
<td>20 µg</td>
<td>Subcutaneous</td>
<td>Three doses (0, 14, 28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Animals

All experiments were performed in BALB/c mice of 6-8 weeks of age and 18-20 g of body weight, obtained from the Center for the Production of Laboratory Animals (CENPALAB, Havana, Cuba). The animals were kept under controlled conditions of temperature (21-24°C), humidity (20-25%), alternating cycles of light/dark for 12 hours, and received food and water acidulatated with HCl pH 2.5 ad libitum. The handling of these animals was performed according to established institutional norms, according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals."
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Starting from 1:1000 to 1:102400 in PBS. This case AF was employed as sample in two-fold serial dilutions at 12 000 rpm for five min. The related supernatants were collected in a Centrifuge TT. After every step the plate was washed three times with washing solution, except after the conjugate, that was washed four times. Substrate buffer was added to each well and the plates were incubated at room temperature. After 15 min, the reaction was stopped by adding stop solution to each well. The Optical Density (OD) of the reactions was measured at 492 nm (OD-492nm) in a Multiskan Microplate Reader (Thermo Scientific, USA). Mice with antibodies titers values over dilution 1:8000 were selected for fusion.

Fusion and hybrid screening

Three days after the final booster, mice with higher titers were euthanized and the spleen removed to use as a source of cells for fusion with Sp2/0 myeloma cells (ratio 10:1) following the protocol described by Köhler and Milstein in 1975[19]. After the fusion process, several selection steps were performed with the aim of determine the more specific hybrid. The screening was carried out by indirect ELISA, analogous to the one used in serum titration. In this case plates were coated with 10 µg/mL of each PS and TT individually and as sample 100 µL/well of undiluted culture supernatant was used. Hybrids were considered positive when OD492nm was twice the negative control (pre-immune mice serum). The hybrids that secrete the most specific Ab (highest OD against PS and lowest against the carrier TT), and also were of IgG isotype, (see isotype determination) were selected for cloning by limiting dilution technique. After cloning the hybrids were selected based on growth form (single clone that covers the ¾ or more of the culture well) and MAb produced exhibit the highest response against their homologous PS and none against the carrier TT.

Isotype determination

The isotype was determined by Mouse Monoclonal Antibody Isotyping Kit, following manufacturer instructions, from supernatant of selected-as-positive growing hybridomas.

MAb Production

For producing MAb, an in vivo method was chosen. Selected clones were injected intraperitoneally in a concentration of 1x10⁶ cells/mL into Pristane-primed mice to obtain ascites. Five days after the administration, mice were investigated daily for production of ascitic fluid (AF). When the abdomens of the mice were enlarged, and their skins were extended, the AF were obtained for the negative control antibody. These hybrids also grew as a single clone, within hours occupied 100% of the well surface. Those were the conditions for the selection of the clones.

Purification and characterization of MAbs

MAbs were purified from ascites by affinity chromatography using a HiTrap rProtein A Fast Flow column following manufacturer’s instructions. Table shows the different recommended protocols for the most common subclasses.

Specific fraction (S.F.) was later desalted versus PBS in a Sephadex G-25 column. Total protein concentration in S.F. was quantified by the bicinchoninic acid reaction[20] using the Bicinchoninic Acid Protein Assay Kit (Sigma - Aldrich, USA) and Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) as standard. Sample was replicated three times in appropriated dilutions for fixing to the standard curve. Productivity of the purification process was estimated and expressed as milligrams of MAb per milliliter of ascites. Purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions on 12.5% polyacrylamide gel[21] followed by densitometric analysis using Quantity One software (Bio-Rad). MAb isotype was determined again to corroborate the results. The affinity constant (Kaff) was determined by indirect ELISA, following the method described by Beatty et al.[22]. Cross reactivity of MAb was evaluated by indirect ELISA similar to the one used in mice serum titrations but in this case 10 µg/mL of purified PS from S. pneumoniae serotypes 1, 5, 6A, 6B, 14, 18C, 19A, 19F, 23F, Polysaccharide C and TT were used for coating step and purified MAb at 10 µg/mL in PBS was used as sample. The rest of the procedure was the same.

Results

Generation of hybrids producing MAbs against PS from S. pneumoniae

In the process of obtaining a hybrid producer of MAb, immunization is the initial step in obtaining the needed antigen-activated lymphocytes for the fusion process. Several in vivo immunization protocols are often successful and have been described previously[23].

Fusion and Hybrid selection

On fusion day, spleens from mice selected were extracted and the fusion procedure was performed following the protocol described by Köhler and Milstein in 1975[19]. After fusion, three selection steps were carried out to select the hybrids that secrete the most specific Ab (highest OD against PS and lowest versus the carrier). At the end of the second selection step, six hybrids were selected against each PS and during the third step, they grew very similarly and all of them showed high response against their homologous PS and lower against TT in the indirect ELISA. They all were producers of IgG class MAbs. The selection was carried out based on the growth form (single clone that covers ¾ or more of the culture well) and the specificity of the response. The results are shown in Table 3.

Selected hybrids had a response against their homologous PS, significantly higher (p<0.0001) than the serum of the immunized animals, and a response against TT similar to the obtained for the negative control antibody. These hybrids also grew as a single clone, within hours occupied 100% of the well surface. Those were the conditions for the selection of the clones.

Production of murine MAbs against Capsular Polysaccharide from Streptococcus pneumoniae

For growing cells and producing MAbs, an in vivo procedure was selected. Twenty Pristane-primed mice, in five groups,
were inoculated with 1x10^6 cells/mL of hybridomas selected (Table 2) intraperitoneally to obtain ascites. Fifty (50) mL of ascites were collected ten days after inoculation from each group.

MAb’s titer in ascitic fluid was measured by indirect ELISA method and absorbance (OD) of dilution (Figure 1). These results indicate, that all the hybrids do not produce the same quantity of MAbs, even when they were obtained with similar conditions during in the same fusion process, culture conditions and time of ascites developing.

Ascitic fluid production enriched with the MAb in mice is a quick and profitable method. The amount of injected Pristane and the period between priming with monoclonal cells are both significant factors in ascitic fluid production24, 25. Furthermore, the number of hybridoma cells injected in the peritoneum of mice is efficient concerning the increased speed of ascitic fluid production. 1x10^6 cells injected in the peritoneum of mice could facilitate the harvest of about 2-3 mL ascitic fluid from each mouse26. Some reports indicate that when a cell number of 2.5 x 10^7 are inoculated, the average life span of mice is 8 days, while a number of 3.2 x 10^6 extends this time to about 12 days. In these experiments, the ascites is formed faster with increased inoculum, however, a higher inoculum leads to a shorter survival27. Current standard protocols often inoculate a number of 1 x 10^6 cells with an average life expectancy between 17-20 days, a period which enables the extraction of a greater volume of ascites23. This was the value selected in this study and achieved a survival rate of 14 days.

Since several liters of RPMI medium and fetal bovine serum (as well as several months of continuous struggle and attention) are required for the production of this amount of antibody by in-vitro method, it seems that the ascites production method is a very valuable and economic method.

On the other hand, during ascites development, animals should be observed at least three times per week for the first week and daily thereafter to monitor the degree of abdominal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solutions</th>
<th>IgG1</th>
<th>IgG2a</th>
<th>IgG2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Union Buffer</td>
<td>Glycine 1.5 M, NaCl 3 M pH 8.9</td>
<td>PBS pH 7.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elution Buffer</td>
<td>Citric Acid 0.1 M pH 6</td>
<td>Citric Acid 0.1 M pH 5</td>
<td>Citric Acid 0.1 M pH 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutralization Buffer</td>
<td>Not needed</td>
<td>Tris 0.1 M pH 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Protein A purification protocols based in the different subclasses

Table 4. Fusion Percentage and clones selected in each fusion protocols subclasses

Figure 1. Anti PS IgG response in ascites of mice inoculated with hybrids 2B5B10 (1), 2E4D11 (5), 1D12E10 (6B), 1D1D11 (14) and 177/10/27/11 (19F). The titration was performed by indirect ELISA using serial two-fold dilutions beginning with dilution 1: 1000. The negative control (blank) used was non-immunized mouse serum. The results are expressed in optical density (OD)
Purification of MAbS

One of the disadvantages of in vivo MAb production method, besides the suffering generated in the animals, is the fact that the material obtained (ascites) contains several own contaminants. Albumin, transferrin, proteases, nucleases and even other immunoglobulins (Igs) are typical contaminants of body fluids.

All MAbS were purified by affinity chromatography in a Hi-Trap rProtein A FF column. Figure 2 shows the chromatographic profiles of purification processes of MAbS 2B5B10 (PS-1, IgG2a), 2E4D11 (PS-5, IgG2b) and 1D12E10 (PS-6B, IgG1). The remain MAbS profiles are not shown because they were very similar to the ones presented as they share subclasses.

As shown in Figure 2.A, the chromatographic profile, of the affinity chromatographic process from all MAbS are very similar, and it is characterized by two well defined peaks. Peak 1 corresponds to the first eluted fraction during the run, the unspecific fraction (UF), generally composed mainly by ascites pollutants which do not bound to the matrix and are eluted quickly. Peak 2 corresponds to the specific fraction (SF), which is retained on the column and eluted by pH changes after the addition of an elution buffer. Figure 2.B shows the profile obtained from size exclusion chromatography. This desalting step on purified MAbS is very important because it allows the removal of the excess of NaCl used in the purification, and allows purified MAb to remain in a more favorable conservation state, such as PBS buffer; increasing the stability of the MAbS29. In this case, peaks 2 of each purification process are not of the same height, it could be related with the fact that the ascites did not have the same antibody titer.

There are several methods for MAbS purification. Proceedings based on solubility differential like salting out (ammonium or sodium sulphate), caprylic acid or solvent addition, among others, are still used for isolation of fractions containing antibodies. These protocols are suitable for bulk proteins and when high purity is not necessary. Chromatographic methods based on anionic or cationic exchange, hydrophobic interaction, affinity and multi-step-strategies are usually used for reaching fine pure products30, 31.

In the biopharmaceutical industry, chromatography is a critical and widely used separation and purification technology due to its high resolution. Chromatography exploits the physical and chemical differences between biomolecules for separation. The most of purification processes for MAbS involve Protein A-based chromatography, which results in a high degree of purity and recovery in a single step32.

Protein A is a bacterial protein from Staphylococcus aureus which naturally interacts with the Fc fragment of antibodies. The high affinity of Protein A for the Fc region of IgG-type

**Figura 2.** Chromatographic profiles of the purification process (From Left to Right). Affinity chromatography of MAbS 2B5B10 (PS-1, IgG2a), 2E4D11 (PS-5, IgG2b) and 1D12E10 (PS-6B, IgG1), using Hi-Trap rProtein A Fast Flow column respectively (Peak 1: Unspecific Fraction. Peak 2: Specific Fraction). Size exclusion chromatography of the specified fraction of MAb 2B5B10 (Desalting process).
antibodies constitutes the basis for the purification of IgG, IgG fragments and subclasses. The procedure typically employed for Protein A chromatography involves passage of clarified ascitic fluid or cell culture supernatant over the column at pH 6–8. Under these conditions the antibodies bind and unwanted components such as the ascites fluid contaminants or host cell proteins and cell culture media components flow through the column.

Protein A chromatography follows harvest, and yields a relatively pure product that only requires removal of a small proportion of process and product related impurities. One or two additional chromatography steps are employed as polishing steps, generally incorporating cationic and anionic exchange chromatography, although hydrophobic interaction chromatography, mixed mode chromatography or hydroxyapatite chromatography may be chosen as well. These steps provide additional clearance, removing aggregates, unwanted product variant species and other ascitic fluid contaminants.

Because of its high selectivity, high flow rate and cost effective binding capacity and its capacity for extensive removal of process-related impurities, Protein A chromatography is typically used as the first step in an antibody purification process. After this step, the antibody product is highly pure and more stable due to the elimination of proteases and other media components that may cause degradation.

**MAbs characterization**

**Productivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAbs</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/mL)</th>
<th>Yield (mg/mL of ascites)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2B5B10</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E4D11</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D12E10</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D1D11</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177/10/27/11</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The determination of total proteins in the desalted fraction allows calculate the productivity of the process. Protein concentration of purified MAbs and the yield are shown in Table 5.

Different productivities justify the fact that ascites titration curves were not the same, as OD is a directly proportional measure of the quantity of MAbs in the sample. Also, specific fractions were not the same in both profiles, corroborating the differences between clones regarding the quantity of MAbs produced. Also it could be due to samples did not have the same quantity of cells, implying differences in the quantity of MAB produced. This fact is not described in the literature, is something proper of each clone. These values of productivity are considered high and are consistent with the results obtained by our group for the process of obtaining other MAbs.

**Purity**

Antibodies purification resulted in highly purified MAbs. Figure 3 shows the electrophoretic profile by SDS-PAGE under reducing condition, of each fraction involved in the purification process of both MAbs.

The electrophoretic profiles of the SF collected in the purification process from every MAbs are very similar. Lane 2 correspond to the U.F not retained in the column during the affinity chromatography. In all cases, the U.F is characterized by the exclusive presence of contaminants, showing an efficient adsorption of MAbs to the Protein A matrix. Remaining lanes show the purified MAbs. The bands visualized correspond to the heavy chains (50 kDa) and light chains (25 kDa) of murine IgG. Figure 4 shows the results of the densitometric analysis and the purity.

Antibody-purity analysis is critical to successful development of monoclonal antibody (MAb) biopharmaceuticals. Determine the degree or percentage of purity of a MAb, is im-
important and gives us information about how efficient was the purification process used. As expected, by using protein A as ligand affinity chromatography, in the process of purification of both antibodies, high purity percentages were obtained (over 96%). On the other hand, determine the percentage of purity is important also for the MAb applicability. For diagnostic purposes, it is often sufficient antibodies preparations with 70 to 95% purity. For analytical purposes (as a standard reagent or for standardizing techniques to ensure quality control of a product), antibodies with a purity greater than 95% are required. And finally for therapeutic purposes, particularly humanized antibodies, are preparations that demands a percentage of purity greater than 99% (36). Purity levels over 96% are enough for the goals of this MAb in our lab (as analytical tool).

**MAbs affinity constant**

Kaff determined by indirect ELISA are shown in Table 6. These values were similar to those reported by Reyes and colleagues for MAbs against N. meningitidis serogroups A, C, Y, W and X(16, 17). It was thought that many of the MAbs had low affinity for biological molecules and therefore they will not displace polyclonal antibodies as instruments. Nowadays, it has been demonstrated that, with a proper selection procedure, it is possible to obtain high affinity MAbs; that have become a very reliable and essential tools for immunoassays(15-17).

Affinity measures the strength of interaction between an epitope and binding site in the antibody. It is defined by the same basic thermodynamic principles that govern any reversible biomolecular interaction(22).

Kaff describes how much antibody-antigen complex exist at the point when equilibrium is reached. The time taken for this happen depends on rate of diffusion and is similar for every antibody. However, high-affinity antibodies will bind a greater amount of antigen in a shorter period of time than low-affinity antibodies. KA can therefore vary widely for antibodies from below $10^5\,M^{-1}$ to above $10^{12}\,M^{-1}$, and can be influenced by factors including pH, temperature and buffer composition.

Knowing the Kaff of an antibody allows judicious selection of antibody for a specific purpose, such as using low affinity antibody in an affinity chromatography procedure for antigen purification(37) or high-affinity antibody in immunoassay techniques(38). Employment of low affinity antibody in an immunoaffinity column would allow purification and elution of the bound antigen with a mild elution buffer avoiding protein denaturation. The use of a high affinity MAb, on the other hand, is regarded as a decisive factor for improvement of the sensitivity of an immunoassay, such as ELISA. So, based on the affinity of our MAbs, both could be used in identity and quantification methods of PS-14 in QuimiVio by ELISA, as Reyes made with the MAbs for PS from *N. meningitidis*.

**MAbs specificities**

Recognition and reactivity of purified MAbs were evaluated by an indirect ELISA assay. Figure 5 shows the results. The graph shows that OD_{492nm} values were elevated against the homologous PS for each MAb, showing that maintain its reactivity after purification and do not cross react with polysaccharides from other serogroups or tetanus toxoid (carrier).

In manufacture of multivalent vaccines, WHO and National Regulatory Agencies (CECMED in Cuba), establish a mandatory requirement for all final vaccine: the identity of all the active principles declared in the sample (39-41). For protein vaccines, for example, by SDS-PAGE the bands profile can be identified and establish the identity of the product, however in the case of the polysaccharide based vaccines that is not so easy.

For this purpose, nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (RMN) (either 1H or 13C) and/or serological method such as counter current immunoelectrophoresis provide convenient methods for the confirmation of identity of purified polysaccharide (14). However, NMR is a complicated and expensive technology and does not work for the conjugate polysaccharides due to the addition of a protein carrier; while preparation of monospecific antisera can be a costly and time-consuming activity.

**Conclusions**

Monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) have been obtained mainly as serogrouping reagents and have been used in identity test
for other multivalent polysaccharide based vaccines. Having MAbs capable of identifying in a multivalent formulation only their homologous PS and discriminate between compounds of related structure is a great tool for analytical assays. These results confirm the feasibility of using these MAbs in identity tests of multivalent vaccines.
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